SMITH'S REPORT

On the Holocaust Controversy

Nº 114 www.OutlawHistory.com March 2005



Supporting "The Campaign to Decriminalize World War II History"

CU-BOULDER EVENT UNDERSCORES DIFFICULTIES IN MAKING THE CASE FOR REVISIONIST ARGUMENTS ON CAMPUS – ARGUMENTS THAT MUST BE HEARD

On 17 February Student Advocates for Free Expression (SAFE), a student organization recognized by Colorado University-Boulder, sponsored an event about how "The Patriot Act affects us all." It was to be a straight-ahead series of lectures on liberty and a free press, as seen in the light of post 9-11 security laws. Below is the introductory text, written by Joshua McNair, director of SAFE. This text was printed on posters that were distributed on campus, and then posted on the SAFE Website. The original poster contained details of the meeting place, date and hour and so on.

The Patriot Act affects us all

Many people realize that the Patriot Act is a threat to our freedom. However, few of us understand the actual scope of this legislation. If you are curious as to how these laws may affect you, please attend our free event. Included will be speakers from all over the United States who will share their knowledge on this subject, as well as inform us of our rights and what we can do to prevent further infringements on our liberty. All participants will receive a free information packet, and a book written in prison by a Canadian victim of Post 9-11 Security laws.

Post 9-11 Security Legislation Awareness Event

The "Canadian victim" of Post 9-11 Security laws, of course, was Ernst Zundel. Josh McNair is the young man who put together the first SAFE event at CU-Boulder where David Irving was the featured speaker. That event was a standing-room-only success, and was covered by the print press on/off campus, and by electronic media. It was a very impressive performance by McNair (see SR 109).

When SAFE announces that "The Patriot Act affects us all," McNair is saying that many post 9-11 security laws are a threat to the lib-

Continued on page 2

erty of all, not a threat aimed at any individual, or at revisionists specifically, but for the nations where they have been institutionalized.

For myself, working as a revisionist, I have gone at the issue from rather the opposite direction. That is, to censor Holocaust revisionism specifically, undermines the liberty of all, including those who work to censor revisionism, those who don't know what it is, or who do know and don't care. Intellectual freedom is there for all of us, or it's not there.

McNair had put up a Website for SAFE, but thought it was inadequate to the work that it would have to handle. He asked if I would do a new site for him. I would have agreed to help under any circumstance, but it was particularly incumbent for me to help if the Zundel case was part of the mix.

It was about this time that I was approached by a David Goldstein. He said that he would not participate openly in the event, but that he had put together a number of articles from Canadian and US mainline press that argued against Zundel's incarceration, and he offered me the articles. I figured that Goldstein was the pen-name of a student on the Boulder campus who did not want to be outed for the usual reasons.

I got together with my Webmaster here in Baja, and over the next week we built a Website we called NoJusticeHere.com, bought the domain name and the ISP service and posted the first documents. The Home page consisted of the Canadian and US press articles that were critical of the Canadian Government for its treatment of Zundel, along with a good photo of him.

When McNair first approached potential speakers for the event, he did not mention the fact that Ingrid (Rimland) Zundel would be a featured speaker. The reaction to his first solicitation for speakers was extremely positive. When McNair replied to those who had expressed a strong interest in speaking, he replied with additional information, including the fact that Ingrid Rimland would speak about her husband, Ernst Zundel, who had been in a Toronto prison for two years, in solitary confinement, without being charged, but as a "security risk."

All those who had expressed interest in speaking about Post 9-11 security laws depriving citizens of the right to a fair trial and other traditional legal rights, now backed out. Every single one. Not one, and these were men and women associated with free-speech organizations, was willing to share a stage with Zundel's wife. Principles be damned.

This put McNair in a corner. Two other speakers that had come to him through a third party, and were listed as speakers on the Website, were showing signs that they too were backing out.

And then McNair got a break. He made a connection with the young lady who heads up the CU-Boulder chapter of the Coalition for Palestinian Justice. She volunteered to co-sponsor the event. She volunteered to help with booking one or two speakers. After a very large disappointment, McNair had a welcome ally, one who would contribute to broadening the base of the program.

McNair created two posters, one featuring "The Patriot Act," the other featuring a headline reading "Free Political Prisoner Ernst Zundel." He began posting the flyers around campus. He expected the posters would create the first news stories of what would become a media storm. So did I. I would not have bet my life on it, but I expected it to work.

I set about putting together a media list for Colorado. Actually, Paloma compiled the list. She's not terribly interested in her father's work, but she wants to work. She got the editorial departments of the entire campus and off-campus print press throughout the state, dailies and weeklies alike. She got talk show hosts and producers for the half dozen radio talk shows in Colorado. Then she put together a list of all student organizations at all Colorado campuses that could be reached via email. We were ready.

Meanwhile, McNair discovered that the response to his provocative flyers was weak, that there was no energy in it. At the Irving event he had announced the talk only the day before, and it was followed by a storm of media contacts. This time, nothing.

To make matters worse, the Coalition for Palestinian Justice began to distance itself from the event. There would be no speakers from that quarter. Palestinians, Arabs and Muslims generally, have so many problems of their own on US campuses, that they are very shy about getting involved with Holocaust revisionism—though that is exactly at the core of their problem with our Jewish friends.

Just about the time that McNair began to think that he did not have an event, that it was finished, he got together with the campus rep for Amnesty International. She was all in favor of an open debate on the Patriot Act, and she did not approve of anyone being imprisoned for thought crimes.

Once again McNair thought he had, possibly, pulled back from the brink of a disaster. Amnesty

Canada had never been any use to Zundel, in fact had done him harm. But the Boulder campus rep for Amnesty promised that she would try to get the Colorado chapter to co-sponsor the event. If it would not, her campus branch would.

The young Amnesty activist quickly found out that the Denver chapter of Amnesty would not support the event. She accepted that. She told McNair that the CU-Boulder chapter of AI would indeed co-sponsor the event along with the Coalition for Palestinian Justice. In addition, she convinced Dennis Blewitt, an attorney specializing in Constitutional Law, to speak at the event about the Patriot Act. Maybe the event would come off after all.

Dennis Blewitt, the Amnesty contact, has served as judge, union president, teacher, criminal defense lawyer, legal researcher and scholar. In addition, he currently resides right there, in Boulder, Colorado

It had become a real up and down ride for McNair, and the rest of us who were following his struggle. Because Ingrid Zundel would be on the podium, all left-wing and conservative opponents of the Patriot Act had backed out of speaking. The flyers posted around campus were not creating a story. Not one journalist, on or off campus, called McNair. The Palestinian group had distanced itself from the event. Colorado Amnesty, which almost certainly would have encouraged, if not supported, the event, would have no part of it.

It was the end of January, and McNair had two speakers for his 17 February event, Dennis Blewitt, and Ingrid Rimland. I was racking my brain to find some way to help promote the event for McNair and Ingrid, effectively. McNair had already ruled out my own appearance at the event. Ingrid (Zundel) was all the Holocaust revisionism he needed.

It was then that an entirely unexpected event happened. It was occasioned by a scandal created by a CU-Boulder professor who was about to speak at Hamilton College in New York. His name is Ward Churchill, and he had written a paper following 9-11 where he referred to the victims of the attack on the World Trade Center as "little Eichmanns." The paper was already over two years old, but someone had dug it up and protested his appearance at Hamilton, and there was something of a story developing about free-speech issues in academia.

The story then was not what it was to become in the weeks following, but because Churchill was teaching at CU-Boulder, because the story had to do with 9-11, with academic freedom, and thus with intellectual freedom generally, it was my cup of tea. I would find a way to connect the Churchill scandal with the upcoming McNair event, and create the beginning of the media response we wanted to create. But how?

I immediately devoted an issue of the OutlawHistory newsletter to "What the Devil Is Going on at CU-Boulder?" A CU-Boulder professor had written that he thought of the victims of the mass murder of civilians in the World Trade Towers as "little Eichmanns," and that perhaps they had deserved what they got. At the same time, an event was about to take place on the CU campus that featured the wife of a Holocaust revisionist imprisoned for thought crimes. Was this my cup of tea, or what?

I sent the column to my regular subscribers, and at the same time I sent it to every media outlet and student organization that we had in Colorado. That was on 2 February. Following is the article.

---

02 FEBRUARY 2005

WHAT THE DEVIL IS GOING ON AT U COLORADO-BOULDER?

Bradley R. Smith

Professor Ward Churchill, a product of the academic left, whose area of expertise is social activism on behalf of American Indian rights, committed a thought crime following the 9/11 Islamist attack on New York City and the Pentagon. He wrote that the victims (the victims!) of the World Trade Towers were "little Eichmanns." That is only part of his criminal statement.

At the same time, a new student organization at CU-Boulder, calling itself Student Advocates for Free Expression, has put up a Website it calls NoJusticeHere.com. The site announces

an upcoming event in which two issues will be addressed. "How The Patriot Act Affects Us All," and a campaign to "Free Ernst Zundel, a Political Prisoner."

Ernst Zundel is a product of the non-academic right. Born in Germany, he immigrated to Canada as a young man, believing what he had been taught in the German public schools, using a curriculum developed during the American occupation.

In Canada, Zundel gradually unlearned much of what he had been taught in Germany, and came to believe that the gas-chamber stories (the first great "weapons of mass destruction" fraud) and much of the Holocaust story were propaganda tools used in the service of US foreign policy. Hello Iraq?

Professor Churchill's crime was to write that those who were working in the Twin Towers when Arab fanatics murdered them were "little Eichmanns" who deserved what they got. That is, they were historical knock-offs of those who allegedly genocided the Jews of Europe. A pretty cheap shot for a professor to make. But then again. . . .

They deserved it because instead of protesting US sanctions against Iraq in the 90s, which caused an estimated half-million deaths, mostly children and the elderly, they passed their time "arranging power lunches and stock transactions, each of which translated, conveniently out of sight, mind and smelling distance, into the starved and rotting flesh of infants."

Zundel does not encourage the idea that it is only natural for Arabs, or anyone else, to kill American "power lunchers," but rather the idea that it is morally wrong to censor revisionist writings and to imprison revisionists for their views. He argues that the Holocaust story should be open to free inquiry in the same manner that every other historical question is open to free inquiry.

Professor Churchill asks: "If there was a better, more effective, or in fact any other way of visiting some penalty befitting their participation [by default, in the murderous US trade sanctions] I'd really be interested in hearing about it." He added, unexpectedly, and maybe with a sense of humor so elevated that I do not understand it, that when the little Eichmanns ignored those serious matters they were living in a "sterile environment."

That is, if thousands of people working in skyscrapers do not attend to murderous US foreign policies, they themselves should be murdered. But should we not ask, How about people who work in buildings that do not scrape the sky, but in very short buildings, maybe only four or five stories high, but still do not attend to murderous US foreign policies? How about people working at home? How about you?

Professor Churchill has been punished for expressing the thesis that the mass murder of power-lunchers is only to be expected. He has been forced to resign as Chairman of his Department of Ethnic Studies. He is being pressured to resign from his tenured professorship at UC. He's probably being laughed at by students. I don't think he should be laughed at, but we're talking students here.

Now Professor Churchill is receiving death threats against his own person. He says he is going to update his will and get it notarized. Good idea. "This proves my thesis absolutely," he said of the death threats. "That is terrorism. The families of Sept. 11 feel dehumanized and devalued and that leads to violence. It's not different for the Arabs."

Those in the World Trade Towers on 9/11 didn't have time to update and notarize their wills--but, oh well.

Ernst Zundel has been prosecuted by the Canadian State again and again for saying what he thinks is true and what he doubts is true, though he has yet to lose in court. After some 20 years of it, and having his house burned down, he got bored, married an American woman, moved to Tennessee, and retired. After two years in bucolic comfort, Canada caused him to be re-arrested and returned to Canada as a prisoner of the State. He has been held in isolation, without charges, for two years. And that is where he remains.

Professor Churchill rose through academic ranks to become a tenured professor and chairman of his Department. And yet he speaks with a kind of self-righteousness about the intentional killing of unarmed civilians en masse

because of the policies of their Government. The conventional academic viewpoint. His error was that he designated Americans as being reasonable targets, not Japanese or Germans or Koreans or Viet Namese or—Iraqis.

How about you and me? For my part, I'm guilty. I know I'm guilty. I did nothing about US sanctions against Iraq in the 90s. My wife was sick. I had a bad back. I was bankrupt. My car broke down. I was distracted by life, and only marginally interested in the Iraqis, or the aids epidemic, or world poverty, or the US Government for that matter.

So kill me now. Let's get it over with. Professor Churchill can tell his Arab friends that I deserve to die for what's going on in Iraq today, about which I am doing very little. I should pay for my inadequate role in protesting the deaths of a hundred thousand, maybe half a million innocent, unarmed Iraqi civilians.
I don't have to go out in a blaze of glory, either. I'm a cheap date. No Arab kid will have to blow himself up. He won't have to destroy his car. I walk alone in the dark. The kid can just slit my throat some night when I'm out on the Boulevard. I think the facts are in. I'm not a caring person, no more than the little Eichmanns who worked in the sterile environment of the World Trade Towers.

Professor Ward Churchill writes that vengeance is all right. Arabs believe that vengeance is more than all right. When Billy Graham spoke at the National Cathedral after 9/11, he said that vengeance belongs to God. It's a big question. I'll have to let the others sort it out. Ward Churchill, Ernst Zundel, and the Student Advocates for Free Expression.

The column is a little loose, if I do say so myself, but it told the story in a way that I thought would interest media and students alike.

McNair's event was about to take on a new lease on life. As the Ward Churchill story developed, it became a national story. He was forced to resign as Chairman of the Ethnic Studies Department. The Governor of Colorado and members of the legislature called for CU to fire Ward. It became a national story rooted in free speech principles and politics. Of course, free speech is always about politics. Forgive me.

I then learned, incredibly, that not only did Ward Churchill teach ethnic studies at CU-Boulder, but that McNair was enrolled in one of his classes. What happened next was only natural. McNair approached Churchill to ask him to speak at his Patriot Act-Free Ernst Zundel event. Churchill was uncertain. Meanwhile, I looked into Churchill's background and discovered that while he was very radical with regard to US imperialism, which I find a worthy subject to be radical about, he was also one of those leftists who abhor Holocaust revisionism.

McNair called to ask me to take the Zundel materials off the Homepage and put them on the Zundel page itself. He wanted to emphasize the Patriot Act aspect of the event in the hope of getting a wide spectrum of people in the audience, and downplay the revisionist aspect. He was still working on the Churchill appearance and didn't want to scare him off.

I thought it was a good idea. There are many issues in which both the left and revisionism share an interest, particularly with regard to the US alliance with Israel. I had to get my Webmaster in again to re-do the Homepage. It took a couple days. Not 48 hours, but two sessions of a couple hours each. The Homepage now was more functional, and was better designed than it had been. There was more information on the site, and we had a national story to work with.

The page was set up so that anyone anywhere who went to the Codoh site, to OutlawHistory, or to BreakHisBones, would find a large icon with Ernst's photo on it so that they could go directly to the homepage of NoJusticeHere.com.

Churchill was to speak one night at CU, but his talk was cancelled. Churchill was angry. McNair approached him again, and Churchill committed himself to speaking at McNair's event. There was no question about it. He would be there. There were still about five days to go.

Meanwhile, I had written a second column on the Churchill story: "Bill O'Reilly Has It Wrong About Ward Churchill." This article was no more flattering about Churchill than the first one, but argued against Bill O'Reilly's view that Churchill should be fired for saying what he had and defending it. We sent the column to everyone in Colorado.

On 16 February, then, there were four speakers for the SAFE event. Ward Churchill, Ingrid Rimland, Dennis Blewitt, and McNair himself. It was not the event that McNair had hoped to put together, but at least it was going to be an event, and it would put SAFE on the map as an eclectic free speech

organization. Now the day had come for me to announce via a professional press release that the event was going to take place, where and when, and who was going to take part in it.

I wrote four press releases. The texts were similar, but each featured a different speaker. The first featured Ward Churchill, the second Ingrid (Rimland) Zundel, the third Dennis Blewitt, and the fourth featured Student Advocates for Free Expression itself. All four releases featured the telephone number for Joshua McNair. The releases featuring Ingrid and SAFE featured Ingrid's cell number in addition to that of McNair.

It was now the night before the event. There was nothing more to do. It was in the laps of the gods. It would either work, or it would not work. The night of the 16th, after I finished sending the releases, I began googling (searching) the Internet for references to SAFE's event at CU-Boulder. There wasn't anything. Nothing on Churchill related to the event. Nothing on Rimland or Zundel or Blewitt or Josh.

The next morning the first thing I did when I sat down to the computer was to google CU and the relevant names. Nothing. By the time the event was to start I decided to give it a rest. The next morning I searched the Internet for references to the event. Nothing. The afternoon of the 18th Josh and I got together on the telephone.

About eighty people, mostly students, showed up in an auditorium with 215 seats. Professor Ward Churchill, who as late as the evening of the 16th, was committed to speaking, did not show up. No journalist contacted Ingrid or McNair.

Ingrid screened a 15-minute trailer for the documentary that she has been working on. Several people walked out on it. But when she finished speaking, she got an enthusiastic round of applause from those (the great majority) who stayed to listen. Who knows which of them, or how many, will become involved with revisionism? Ingrid wrote a good, detailed story about all this. She painted an especially poignant picture of the young lady from Amnesty who found, after visiting the Zundel Internet site, and listening to the big guns in the Denver Amnesty office, that she was torn over having involved herself in the event.

Dennis Blewitt spoke well on constitutional issues related to the Patriot Act. Very professionally, but without creating much excitement. Josh, who by this time was a little shell-shocked, spoke briefly. There was a "round table" with the speakers after the talks. A number of students stayed to participate. And then that was it for the night.

McNair was pleased that the event had turned out reasonably well for Ingrid. He was disappointed by the fact that there was no media, no print press, and that not even the school paper had reported on the event. He had learned that Hillel, ADL, and Amnesty itself had contacted all Denver, Boulder and most other Colorado media and effectively created a 100 percent media blackout. That's how professionals work.

McNair was rather despondent regarding the future of SAFE, realizing that the event had failed to create a story even on campus. He realized that after the David Irving event he had sponsored, it had been a bad decision for SAFE to make Zundel a centerpiece for the event, even though that was part of the original mix.

All those who had originally expressed interest in speaking, had backed out. The Coalition for Palestinian Justice had not helped. Amnesty International had participated in creating the media blackout. Ward Churchill had broken his word on the day of the event itself. All of it because of the Zundel name—or so it appeared. SAFE would now be judged a "Nazi" front, which meant that SAFE was finished as an effective student organization.

In the end, I was unable to find one mention—not one—that refers to SAFE's event regarding the Patriot Act, and (ironically) "Freeing" Ernst Zundel.

Ironic because on 1 March Ernst Zundel was taken from his Canadian jail and flown across the Atlantic to his new German jail. On 2 March, State prosecutors in Mainz charged Ernst with inciting racial hatred and denying the Holocaust, a crime in Germany punishable by a maximum five-year prison term

After all his legal struggles, after all the principled work on his behalf carried out by his wife and friends and supporters, here we are. Holocaust revisionists are warehoused in jails all over Europe, and now Ernst is among them. Others are in exile from the US to Ukraine.

We're in a political struggle. Words matter. The way we use words matter. The accuracy of the words we use matters. It may not matter how those who are powerful, influential, rich, and cynical use words. But to those of us who are none of those things, yet believe we have words that it would be well that others hear, and that they should have the right to hear them, we have to take language seriously.

We don't always do that.

THE OUTLAW NEWSLETTER, RADIO PRESS RELEASES – THE RIGHT MIX

On 10 February I published Issue 43 of the Outlaw Newsletter. That issue was titled: "Bill O'Reilly Has It Wrong About Ward Churchill." Essentially, O'Reilly was pushing the idea that Churchill should be fired. After having looked into Churchill's background I had found that he is a mixed bag, but you do not censor men who are mixed bags, or most of those who work for the Feds would be shut up by federal law.

On 13 February I was contacted by the Dave Glover Show, 97.1 FM Talk, in St Louis, Missouri. Glover is the top drive-time talk host in St Louis. Anyone who does radio knows that drive time is the most listened-to and potentially most productive hours to do interviews. The next day, the 14th, I did the interview. Glover told his audience straight out that he had received the "O'Reilly" issue of Outlaw and that he could not turn down the opportunity to discuss why Bill O'Reilly was wrong about Ward Churchill.

Glover was friendly, but at the same time had brought in a lady who was an "expert" on Israel. I wanted to talk about intellectual freedom, about how the Holocaust story is used as a weapon to cripple a free press, and about the fact that the alleged Nazi gas-chamber story was the first WMD fraud, created by the same people who created the second.

The lady guest, an academic who had done a background check on me, wanted to talk about Jews and Israel. She commented that I was the kind of man who would publish such ridiculous papers as Samuel Crowell's "Bomb Shelters in Birkenau: A Reappraisal."

The host, Dave Glover, did not take charge of the interview. He didn't direct the back and forth, with the result that I would begin one thread, and the other guest would reply with a different thread entirely. My error was to not have taken charge of the interview myself. Another lesson "re-learned."

The first point to this story is that in January, the Outlaw Newsletter produced a call from CNN, while in February, it produced a call from 97.1 FM in St Louis. It cost me nothing to solicit these interviews. It was done via email over the Internet. The content of the email was the exact content of the column that I wrote for subscribers.

Which brings me to the second point. I had already decided that I would limit myself to writing three columns per week. I was sending every column to my list of talk radio producers. In the back of my mind, I understood this was not the right way to approach radio.

Producers do not want to receive columns. They don't have time to read columns. They want a very brief, focused news release where they can see immediately what the proposal is and can find a list of suggested questions for their Talker and links to the bio and background of the sender.

The trick here is that because of the very brevity of the press release format, it sometimes takes as much time to do a productive press release as it does to write a column. Reflecting on this, I made yet another decision. I will write a column at the beginning of each week, send a good press release to radio in the middle of the week, and produce a second column the end of the week. Pretty much a Monday, Wednesday, and Friday schedule.

The idea here is that while radio will hear from me only one time each week instead of three times, it will hear from me in the way it wants to hear from me. Because I will not write a column that day, I will have time do to a professional release.

And unlike most other revisionist newsletters, the Outlaw columns are always original, and are archived on the Outlaw Web page. My readers do not have to subscribe to Outlaw, they can just click on the archive page a couple times a week and get everything I have done.

So—we'll see how this works. I'll report on the results here. .

A NEW SAM CROWELL-DOCUMENT UPLOADED to New CODOH WEBSTE

[CS 100] Technique and Operation of German Anti-Gas Shelters in World War Two. *Samuel Crowell.*

Read the study that started it all! Crowell's path breaking study *Technique and Operation* combined Crowell's probing research with Arthur R. Butz's conceptual framework to provide the first statement of the Bomb Shelter Thesis, the subject of much testimony and argument in the David

Irving v. Deborah Lipstadt/ Penguin Books libel trial.

Comparing JC Pressac's "criminal traces" with the then unknown German civil defense literature, Crowell argued the first unified refutation of the French pharmacist turned historian. Building on the previous critiques of other revisionists, Crowell delivers a tour de force by arguing that not only Pressac's "criminal traces" but *all* of the modifications made to the crematoria were consistent with air-raid shelter use.

"Technique and Operation" is an underground classic, has been the subject of wide debate among both revisionists and exterminationists, and was a source for an intense grilling in the memorable cross-examination of Holocaust historian Robert Jan van Pelt by David Irving,

CS 100: 53pp. 15,570 Words. Clear cover. Spiral binding. $10

CODOHWeb

We have identified and uploaded three important documents by Fritz Berg and another by Samuel Crowell onto the CODOH Website.

We have found a good film editor who can work with video for the Internet, so next we will upload onto CODOH the classic revisionist video "David Cole Interviews Franciszek Piper."

The Cole-Piper interview remains the most valuable revisionist video ever produced for those who are not yet revisionists, and it remains a solid document on its own. Parts of the interview with Piper, and with the lady tour guide, remain difficult to make out on the tape (you have to listen very carefully, perhaps more than once), so that Cole had to do some voice-over work. We plan to sub-title the video from the original transcript. First we will upload it as is, and we will work on the sub-titles as we have the funds and time.

I got my wires crossed with regard to data regarding the first few documents uploaded to the new Codoh Website. Word counts and page counts both. Confused myself between Internet formatting and print formatting. No excuse, but there you are. I have it all worked out now.

Work on the new CODOH Website is going along nicely. It would go more quickly if I could use the services of my Webmaster more regularly. I have decided on a budget. We need $750 a month for five months to complete the work on the new CODOH Website. Some of the funds will be used for such work as getting David Cole's interview with the Auschwitz Museum director, Franciszek Piper, up online, including sub-titles, where it can be viewed by one and all.

One supporter in Nebraska has made a monthly commitment of $250 to finish the work on CODOH. Very welcome. I need two more commitments of $250 a month, for five months, to get this priority work done. After five months, the Webmaster issue will be re-evaluated in light of the existing circumstances. .

A LAST NOTE ON ZUNDEL

Ernst Zundel's extradition to Germany is the revisionist story of the moment, as Zundel's story has been for so many other moments, weeks, and months the last twenty-five years. For the last two years, especially, Ingrid has successfully carried an extraordinary burden of sheer work, and successfully met an extraordinary burden of legal expenses, and yet here we are.

Revisionists understand, as do those who are so desperate to see revisionists censored and imprisoned, how dangerous our arguments are to both the political and cultural status quo in America and throughout the West. If—when—revisionist arguments become a routine part of public debate, they will impact on the US alliance with Israel, and on US foreign policy generally.

I can't get Ernst out of jail in any direct way. None of us can. But I can continue to work to find ways to take revisionist arguments to the public in America, so that what has happened in Canada will not happen here. With your support, of course.

Without it? I don't know. I just don't know.



Bradley

Smith's Report

is published by
Bradley R. Smith

For your contribution of $39 you will receive 12 issues of
Smith's Report
In Canada and Mexico--$45
Overseas--$49

All checks & letters to:

Bradley R. Smith
Post Office Box 439016
San Ysidro, CA 92143

T & Fax: 1 800 348 6081
Cell: 619-203 3151
Voice: 1 619 685 2163
T & Fax: Baja, Mexico
011 52 661 61 23984
Email: bradley@telnor.net

On the Web:
www.OutlawHistory.com
www.codoh.com
www.BreakHisBones.org